

United States Patent and Trademark Office

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMENCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. CONFIRMATION NO FILING DATE FIRST NAMED INVENTOR APPLICATION NO. 09/640,260 08/16/2000 Joseph B. Tompkins 9215/020 4626 EXAMINER 7590 06/17/2004

36122 **DUFT SETTER OLLILA & BORNSEN LLC** 2060 BROADWAY **SUITE 300** BOULDER, CO 80302

NGUYEN, ALAN V

PAPER NUMBER ART UNIT 2662

DATE MAILED: 06/17/2004

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

Advisory Action	Application No.	Applicant(s)	
	09/640,260	TOMPKINS ET AL.	
	Examiner	Art Unit	
	Alan Nguyen	2662	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address			
THE REPLY FILED 18 May 2004 FAILS TO PLACE THIS APPLICATION IN CONDITION FOR ALLOWANCE. Therefore, further action by the applicant is required to avoid abandonment of this application. A proper reply to a final rejection under 37 CFR 1.113 may only be either: (1) a timely filed amendment which places the application in condition for allowance; (2) a timely filed Notice of Appeal (with appeal fee); or (3) a timely filed Request for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 CFR 1.114.			
PERIOD FOR REPLY [check either a) or b)]			
a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing date of the final rejection. b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this Advisory Action, or (2) the date set forth in the final rejection, whichever is later. In no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire later than SIX MONTHS from the mailing date of the final rejection. ONLY CHECK THIS BOX WHEN THE FIRST REPLY WAS FILED WITHIN TWO MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(f).			
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date on which the petition under 37 CFR 1.136(a) and the appropriate extension fee have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of extension and the corresponding amount of the fee. The appropriate extension fee under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the shortened statutory period for reply originally set in the final Office action; or (2) as set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of the final rejection, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).			
1. A Notice of Appeal was filed on Appellant's Brief must be filed within the period set forth in 37 CFR 1.192(a), or any extension thereof (37 CFR 1.191(d)), to avoid dismissal of the appeal.			
2. The proposed amendment(s) will not be entered because:			
(a)			
(b) ☐ they raise the issue of new matter (see Note below);			
(c) they are not deemed to place the application in better form for appeal by materially reducing or simplifying the issues for appeal; and/or			
(d) they present additional claims without canceling a corresponding number of finally rejected claims.			
NOTE: see attachment 1 on the following page.			
3. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s):			
4. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be allowable if submitted in a separate, timely filed amendment canceling the non-allowable claim(s).			
5. The a) affidavit, b) exhibit, or c) request for reconsideration has been considered but does NOT place the application in condition for allowance because:			
6. The affidavit or exhibit will NOT be considered because it is not directed SOLELY to issues which were newly raised by the Examiner in the final rejection.			
7. ☑ For purposes of Appeal, the proposed amendment(s) a) ☑ will not be entered or b) ☐ will be entered and an explanation of how the new or amended claims would be rejected is provided below or appended.			
The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows:			
Claim(s) allowed:			
Claim(s) objected to:			
Claim(s) rejected: <u>1-34</u> .			
Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:			
8. The drawing correction filed on is a) approved or b) disapproved by the Examiner.			
9. Note the attached Information Disclosure Statement(s)(PTO-1449) Paper No(s)			
10. Other: JOHN PEZZLO			
		MARY EXAMINES	

In response to Applicant's request for reconsideration received on May 18, 2004.

- 1. The examiner thanks Applicant for the response to the action mailed on April 20, 2004, to further advance the prosecution of application 09/640260.
- -- Independent claims 1 and 17 have been amended.
- -- New elements have been added into **claim 1**, a core processor to create a plurality of external buffers that are external to the integrated circuit and configured to store the communication packets where each external buffers is associated with a pointer that corresponds to the external buffer; and the core processor configures to create additional external buffers and their corresponding pointers in response to the exhaustion signal.
- -- The limitation of claim 5 has also been added into **claim 1**.
- -- New elements have been added into claim 17, creating a plurality of external buffers that are external to the integrated circuit and that are configured to tstore the communication packets; creating a plurality of pointers where each pointer corresponds to on of the plurality of external buffers; and in response to the exhaustion signal, creating additional external buffers and their corresponding pointers where the additional external buffers are external to the integrated circuit and are configure to store the communication packets.

-- The limitation of claim 22 has also been added into claim 17.

Introduction of the new limitations into claims 1 and 17 changes the scope of the claims, which requires the examiner to do an updated search.

JOHN PEZZLO
PRIMARY EXAMINER